

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 319 227

EC 231 130

TITLE Student Self-Management To Increase On-Task Behavior, Research Brief for Teachers T3.

INSTITUTION Council for Exceptional Children, Reston, Va.; ERIC Clearinghouse on Handicapped and Gifted Children, Reston, Va.

SPONS AGENCY Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services (ED), Washington, DC. Div. of Innovation and Development.

PUB DATE Mar 90

CONTRACT RI88062007

NOTE 3p.; A product of the ERIC/OSEP Special Project on Interagency Information Dissemination. This research brief is abstracted from "Distractible Students Use Self-Monitoring" by S. S. Osborne et al. (EC 191 166) and "Self-Management Tactics" by T. C. Lovitt and C. Bellew (ED 303 581).

AVAILABLE FROM Council for Exceptional Children, Publication Sales, 1920 Association Dr., Reston, VA 22091-1589 (\$1.00 each, minimum order of \$5.00 prepaid).

PUB TYPE Information Analyses - ERIC Information Analysis Products (071) -- Guides - Non-Classroom Use (055)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *Behavior Problems; *Disabilities; Elementary Secondary Education; *Self Control; Self Evaluation (Individuals); *Student Behavior; *Time on Task

IDENTIFIERS *Self Management

ABSTRACT

This brief guide notes the effectiveness of self-management strategies in increasing students' responsibility for their own learning and behavior, heightening student motivation and self-esteem, and reducing demands on the teacher's time. A strategy is outlined to help students increase their on-task behavior, by having students chart, correct, and evaluate their own performance. Twenty-two resources describing the use of self-management are listed. (JDD)

 * Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
 * from the original document. *

ED 319227

ERIC/OSEP SPECIAL PROJECT ON INTERAGENCY INFORMATION DISSEMINATION

RESEARCH BRIEF FOR TEACHERS

STUDENT SELF-MANAGEMENT TO INCREASE ON-TASK BEHAVIOR

BRIEF T3
MARCH 1990

Self-management strategies have been developed to reduce problem behavior, to increase on-task behavior and social skills, to teach vocational skills, and to increase academic success in a variety of subjects. Research indicates that student self-management can have a number of benefits. Overall, self-management strategies increase students' responsibility for their own learning and behavior. Self-management can heighten student motivation and self-esteem as well as reduce demands on the teacher's time. Resources describing the use of self-management to accomplish these and other goals are listed at the end of this brief.

Student self-management involves having students correct, chart, or evaluate their own performance. In some applications, self-management also includes goal-setting. While using these strategies, the teacher can monitor, provide feedback for, and reinforce student behavior. Thus, these strategies fit in well with many instructional programs and can be paired with other motivational techniques and reward systems. The strategy described below uses self-monitoring to help students increase their on-task behavior, resulting in an increase in the amount of their work completed in class.

PREPARE FOR SELF-MONITORING

First, establish a cueing system to let the students know when to self-monitor. This can be done by recording a series of tones on a tape recorder, by raising your hand, or by making marks on the blackboard. The time between cues must be random so that students cannot predict when the monitoring time will occur. The time intervals should vary from 10 to 90 seconds, and the entire monitoring period should be less than 10 minutes.

Specifically identify the behaviors to be monitored. For example, in a class of 10- to 16-year-old students who were not able to complete their daily work without supervision, the behaviors to be monitored were "paying attention" and "not paying attention." Paying attention was defined as doing the assigned work. Not paying attention was defined as doing anything that was not the assigned work, such as talking, looking around the room, drawing, or cleaning one's desk.

Prepare recording sheets that contain spaces for the student's name and date, a question asking whether the expected behavior is being performed (e.g., Was I working?), and columns for "yes" or "no."

INTRODUCE THE STUDENTS TO SELF-MONITORING

Let the students know why they are being asked to self-monitor and what they will be doing. In the example, the teacher explained that she wanted them to pay more attention to their work. She described the expected behavior as previously defined.

Distribute the recording sheets and tell the students that the sheets are to keep track of the behaviors to be monitored (e.g. "paying attention" and "not paying attention"). Act out some examples of paying attention and not paying attention and ask the students to mark their recording sheets "yes" or "no" based on your examples.

Explain to the students that they will hear a tone from a recorder at random times (or that you will be raising your hand or making a mark on the blackboard at random times), and that this will be their signal to mark on their recording sheets whether or not they were paying attention. Give them these instructions to use when self-monitoring: "When I hear a tone, I ask myself if I am paying attention to my work. If I am, I mark 'yes,' and keep working. If I am not, I mark 'no,' and tell myself to get back to work."

Begin the tape recorder, and first act as if you were the student. Then let the students practice on their own. Perform a final check by asking the students to repeat both definitions—paying attention and not paying attention—and the self-monitoring questions just given.

Review the self-monitoring procedure briefly after holidays and weekends.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

(Q) this document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it

L) Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality

• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

TRACK STUDENT PROGRESS

Monitor the amount of student work completed in class along with the data from the recording sheets. Evaluate them both over time. They can be charted using days on the X axis and, on the Y axis, "yes" responses, "no" responses, and classwork completed. Classwork completed should be expressed in a number, such as the number of reading problems, words or passages completed, or the number of math problems completed. The monitoring procedure can be paired with a reward system in which students who show improvement can earn privileges. Teachers who used the self-monitoring strategy found that students' on-task behavior increased immediately and continued to increase while the procedure was in use. They noted that disruptive behavior decreased during self-monitoring, and productivity increased.

RESOURCES

Blandford, B.J., & Lloyd, J.W. (1987). Effects of a self-instructional procedure on handwriting. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 20, 342-346.

Clark, F. L., Deshler, D. D., Schumaker, J. B., Alley, G. R., & Warner, M. M. (1984). Visual imagery and self-questioning: Strategies to improve comprehension of written material. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 17, 145-149.

Clary, L. M. (1986). Help for the homework hassle. *Academic Therapy*, 22, 57-60.

Delprato, D. J. (1977). Increasing classroom participation with self-monitoring. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 70, (4), 225-227.

ERIC Digests E448, *Research to Practice: Lesson Structure* (ED-291 206), and E449, *Research to Practice: Critical Presentation Skills* (ED 291 205), provide information on related instructional techniques as well as additional resources. (These Digests are \$1.00 each minimum order \$5.00, prepaid. Order from Publication Sales, The Council for Exceptional Children, 1920 Association Drive, Reston, VA 22091.)

Fredericksen, L. W., & Fredericksen, C. B. (1975). Teacher determined and self-determined token reinforcement in a special education classroom. *Behavior Therapy*, 6, 310-314.

Glynn, E. L. (1970). Classroom applications of self-determined reinforcement. *Journal of Applied Behavioral Analysis*, 3, 123-132.

Haisley, F. B., Tell, C. A., & Andrews, J. (1981). Peers as tutors in the mainstream: Trained "teachers" of handicapped adolescents. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 14, 224-226.

Hellison, D. (1983). Teaching self-responsibility. *Journal of Physical Education*, 54, 23-28.

Layne, C. C., Rickard, H. C., Jones, M. T., & Lyman, R. D. (1976). Accuracy of self-monitoring on a variable ratio schedule of observer verification. *Behavior Therapy*, 7, 481-488.

Lenz, B. K., Alley, G. R., & Schumaker, J. B. (1987). Activating the inactive learner: Advance organizers in the secondary content classroom. *Learning Disability Quarterly*, 10, 53-67.

Nielson, I. (1983). Teaching adolescents self-management. *The Clearinghouse*, 57, 76-80.

Reiher, R. H., & Dembo, M. H. (1984). Changing academic task persistence through a self-instructional attribution training program. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 9, 84-94.

Schewel, R. H., & Waddell, J. G. (1986). Metacognitive skills: Practical strategies. *Academic Therapy*, 22, 19-25.

Schunk, D. D. (1985). Participation in goal setting: Effects on self-efficacy and skills of learning disabled children. *The Journal of Special Education*, 19, 307-317.

Smith, D. D., & Lovitt, T. C. (1982). *Computational arithmetic program*. Austin, TX: Pro-Ed.

Smith, R. J., & Dauer, V. L. (1984). A comprehension-monitoring strategy for reading content area materials. *Journal of Reading*, 28, 144-147.

Tollefson, N., Tracy, D. B., Johnsen, E. P., & Chatman, J. (1986). Teaching learning disabled students goal-implementation skills. *Psychology in the Schools*, 23, 194-204.

Utah State University, Department of Special Education (October, 1987). *Teaching self-management strategies to adolescents: Instruction manual*.

Warrenfeltz, R. B., Kelly, W. J., Salzberg, C. L., Beegle, C. P., Levy, S. M., Adams, T. A., & Crouse, T. R. (1981). Social skills training of behavior disordered adolescents with self-monitoring to promote generalization to a vocational setting. *Behavioral Disorders*, 7, (1), 18-27.

Wood, R., & Flynn, J. M. (1978). A self-evaluation token system versus an external evaluation token system alone in a residential setting with predelinquent youth. *Journal of Applied Behavioral Analysis*, 11, 503-512.

Zigmond, N., & Kerr, M. M. (1986). *School survival skills curriculum: Teacher's manual*. Pittsburgh, Pa: University of Pittsburgh.

This brief was abstracted from Distractible Students Use Self-Monitoring, *TEACHING Exceptional Children*, Winter, 1987, 19(2), 66-69, by S.S. Osborne, M. M. Kosiewicz, E. B. Crumley, & C. Lee, ED 191 166, available from University Microfilms International, 300 N. Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48106 and from *Self-Management Tactics*, a compendium of self-management strategies, (1988), University of Washington, Seattle, by T. C. Lovitt & C. Bellew, available for \$.86 (microfiche) or \$12.18 (hard copy), plus postage, from ERIC Document Reproduction Service, 3900 Wheeler Drive, Alexandria, VA 22304 (1-800-227-3742). Order ED 303 581.

The ERIC/OSEP Special Project on Interagency Information Dissemination is designed to provide information about research in special education, in particular, research funded by the Division of Innovation and Development, Office of Special Education Programs, U.S. Department of Education. This product was developed by the ERIC Clearinghouse on Handicapped and Gifted Children under contract No. RI88062007 with the Office of Special Education Programs, U.S. Department of Education. The content, however, does not necessarily reflect the position of the U.S. Department of Education and no official endorsement of these materials should be inferred.



ERIC/OSEP SPECIAL PROJECT
ERIC CLEARINGHOUSE ON HANDICAPPED AND GIFTED CHILDREN
THE COUNCIL FOR EXCEPTIONAL CHILDREN
1920 ASSOCIATION DRIVE, RESTON, VA 22091